1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DUBRIN et al.,	No. 1:11-cv-01484-DAD-JLT
12	Plaintiffs,	
13	V.	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND
14	MICHAEL STAINER et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS, DENYING PLAINTIFF HALL'S REQUEST TO
15	Defendants.	<u>RESCIND SETTLEMENT</u>
16		(Doc. Nos. 161, 162)
17		
18		
19	This is a civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983, involving multiple plaintiffs and	
20	multiple defendants, which was filed on September 2, 2011. The matter was referred to a United	
21	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
22	On February 28, 2017, the assigned magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations,	
23	recommending that the court deny plaintiff Tony Hall's motion to rescind his agreement to the	
24	settlement of this action which were served on the parties and contained notice that objections	
25	thereto were to be filed within thirty days. (Doc. No. 162.) Despite lapse of more than the	
26	allowed time, no objections have been filed.	
27	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the court has conducted a	
28	de novo review of this case. Having carefully	y reviewed the entire file, the court finds the findings
		1

1	and recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
2	Accordingly,	
3	1. The February 28, 2017 findings and recommendations (Doc. No. 162) are adopted in	
4	full; and	
5	2. Plaintiff Hall's motion to rescind his agreement to the settlement (Doc. No. 161) is	
6	denied.	
7	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
8	Dated: July 10, 2017 Jale A. Dryd	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	