1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DURBIN, et al.,	1:11-cv-01484-AWI-JLT (PC)
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED AND WHY THE
13	V.	DISCOVERY STAY SHOULD NOT BE LIFTED FOR FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE COURT'S ORDER
14	BONILLA, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16	On February 4, 2015, the Court granted counsel's stipulation to stay discovery and granted	
17	time to advise the Court whether the parties wanted a settlement conference. (Doc. 61) At that	
18	time, counsel reported they were in settlement negotiations and would notify the Court by the	
19	end of February whether they desired a settlement conference. (Doc. 60) They have not done so.	
20	Therefore, within 14 days, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> counsel for both sides to show cause why	
21	sanctions, up to and including an order dismissing the action or an order striking the answer and	
22	entering default, should not be imposed and why the discovery stay should not be lifted due to	
23	their failure to comply with the Court's orders. Alternatively, the parties may provide the	
24	information previously ordered within the same 14-day period.	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
26		lal I are-ifera I The
27	Dated: <b>March 4, 2015</b>	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		