I

1		
2		
3		
4		
5	UNITED OT A TEG	DISTRICT COURT
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
7	EASTERN DISTRIC	CT OF CALIFORNIA
8	HARRY ZELIG,	CASE NO. 1:11-CV-01515-LJO-DLB PC
9	Plaintiff,	FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS RECOMMENDING MOTION TO PROCEED
10	V.	IN FORMA PAUPERIS BE DENIED
11	FELIX IGBINOSA, et al.,	(DOC. 2)
12	Defendants.	OBJECTIONS, IF ANY, DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS
13	/	
14		
15	Plaintiff Harry Zelig ("Plaintiff") is a Ca	lifornia state prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil
16	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On	September 8, 2011, Plaintiff filed a motion to
17	proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C.	. § 1915. Doc. 2.
18	A prisoner seeking to proceed in forma p	pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915 must
19	submit an affidavit and a certified copy of his pr	ison trust account statement for the six month
20	period immediately preceding the filing of the co	omplaint. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a). The Court is
21	authorized to grant the commencement of suit w	vithout prepayment of fees by a person who
22	submits an affidavit and statement of assets that	demonstrate the person is unable to pay the
23	filing fee. Id.	
24	Plaintiff filed an application to proceed i	n forma pauperis and provided a certified copy of
25	his trust account statement for the six month per	riod immediately preceding the filing of the
26	complaint. Plaintiff's average monthly balance	is \$1512.49 and the average of Plaintiff's
27	monthly deposits is \$183.33. In addition, Plaintiff's application indicates that he currently has	
28	\$771.59 in available balance. The Court therefore	ore finds that Plaintiff has sufficient funds to pay
		1

1	the filing fee in full and is ineligible to proceed in forma pauperis.	
2	Accordingly, the Court HEREBY RECOMMENDS that	
3	1) Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, filed September 9, 2011, be	
4	DENIED; and	
5	2) Plaintiff be ordered to submit the \$350.00 filing fee in full to the Court within	
6	twenty (20) days from the date of service of the order resolving these Findings	
7	and Recommendations.	
8	These Findings and Recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge	
9	assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen (14)	
10	days after being served with these Findings and Recommendations, Plaintiff may file written	
11	objections with the Court. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate	
12	Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within	
13	the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. <i>Martinez v. Ylst</i> , 951	
14	F.2d 1153, 1156-57 (9th Cir. 1991).	
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
16	Dated: September 21, 2011 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE HUDGE	
16 17	Dated:September 21, 2011/s/ Dennis L. BeckUNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
	Dated: September 21, 2011 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17	Dated: September 21, 2011 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17 18	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> /s/ <u>Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17 18 19	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> /s/ <u>Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
17 18 19 20	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> <u>/s/ Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
 17 18 19 20 21 	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> <u>/s/ Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> <u>/s/ Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> <u>/s/ Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> <u>/s/ Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 	Dated: <u>September 21, 2011</u> <u>/s/ Dennis L. Beck</u> UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	Dated:	