1	DENNIS R. THELEN, SBN 83999 KEVIN E. THELEN, SBN 252665				
2	LAW OFFICES OF LEBEAU • THELEN, LLP				
3	5001 East Commercenter Drive, Suite 300				
4	Post Office Box 12092 Bakersfield, California 93389-2092				
5	(661) 325-8962; Fax (661) 325-1127				
6	KATHLEEN BALES-LANGE, #094765 County Counsel for the County of Tulare				
7	TERESA M. SAUCEDO, #093121 Chief Deputy County Counsel				
8	2900 West Burrell, County Civic Center Visalia, CA 93291				
9	Phone: (559) 636-4950; Fax (559) 737-4319				
10	Attamaza fan Dafan lanta COLINTV OF THE ADE THE ADE				
11	Attorneys for Defendants, COUNTY OF TULARE, TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, TULARE COUNTY				
	SHERIFF-CORONER WILLIAM WITMANN, AND DEPUTY CHRISTOPHER LANDIN				
12					
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
14	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
15					
16	MARIO LOPEZ JR., DECEASED,	CASE NO.: 1:11-cv-01547-LJO-SMS			
17	THROUGH HIS CO-SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST, MARIO LOPEZ III AND	JOINT STIPULATION OF THE			
18	MICHAEL LOPEZ; ELIDA LOPEZ, Individually; MARIO LOPEZ III, Individually;	PARTIES; ORDER			
19	and MICHAEL LOPEZ, Individually,				
20	Plaintiffs,				
21	VS.				
22	COUNTY OF TULARE, a public entity,				
23	TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, a public entity, TULARE				
24	COUNTY SHERIFF-CORONER WILLIAM WITTMAN, in his individual and official				
25	capacities, DEPUTY CHRISTOPHER LANDIN, Individually, and DOES 1 through				
	20, Jointly and Severally,	Case Filed: September 14, 2011 Trial Date: None set			
26	Defendants.				
27	///				
28	///				
	JOINT STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES; [PROPOSED] ORDER				
	1	Dooksto lustia			

1	The parties to the above referenced action, subject to the Court's approval, hereby stipulate to			
2	the following:			
3	WHEREAS the parties desire to provide counsel for defendants further time to prepare a reply			
4	to plaintiffs' Opposition to defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike pursuant to the Federa			
5	Rules of Civil Procedure;			
6	WHEREAS counsel for plaintiffs provided a similar professional courtesy to counsel for			
7	defendants in allowing defendants further time to respond to the Plaintiffs' Complaint;			
8	WHEREAS counsel for defendants provided a similar professional courtesy to counsel for			
9	plaintiffs in allowing plaintiffs further time to respond to the Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Motion			
10	to Strike;			
11	WHEREAS counsel for defendant has multiple pressing matters, including three days of out-of-			
12	town events, that will prevent counsel from preparing a full and complete reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition			
13	to defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike on the currently set briefing schedule;			
14	The parties HEREBY AGREE, subject to the Court's approval, to modify the time frame			
15	relating to the defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Motion to Strike as follows:			
16	1. Defendants' Reply to Plaintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Motion			
17	to Strike was previously scheduled to be filed on or before Wednesday, December 7, 2011;			
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	JOINT STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES; [PROPOSED] ORDER			
ı				

1	2. Defendants' Reply to Pl	laintiffs' Opposition to Defendants' Motion to Dismiss and Motion		
2	to Strike shall now be set to be filed on or before Tuesday, December 13, 2011;			
3				
4		Respectfully submitted,		
5	Dated: December 7, 2011	LeBEAU • THELEN, LLP		
6				
7		By: /S/ DENNIS R. THELEN DENNIS R. THELEN, ESQ.		
8		Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF TULARE		
9				
10	Dated: December 7, 2011	KATHLEEN BALES-LANGE Tulare County Counsel		
11				
12		By: /S/ TERESA M. SAUCEDO		
13 14		TERESA M. SAUCEDO Attorneys for Defendants COUNTY OF THEARE THEARE COUNTY		
15		COUNTY OF TULARE, TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF'S DEPARTMENT, TULARE COUNTY SHERIFF-CORONER WILLIAM		
16		WITTMAN, AND DEPUTY CHRISTOPHER LANDIN		
17				
18	Dated: December 7, 2011	HADDAD & SHERWIN		
19				
20		By: /S/ MICHAEL HADDAD		
21		MICHAEL HADDAD, ESQ. Attorneys for Plaintiffs MARIO LOPEZ JR.,		
22		DECEASED, THROUGH HIS CO- SUCCESSORS IN INTEREST, MARIO LOPEZ		
23		III AND MICHAEL LOPEZ; ELIDA LOPEZ, Individually; MARIO LOPEZ III, Individually;		
24		and MICHAEL LOPEZ, Individually,		
25				
26				
27				
28				
	JOINT STIPULATION OF THE PARTIES; [PROPOSED] ORDER			
	JOINT STIPULAT	ION OF THE PARTIES; [PROPOSED] ORDER		

1			ORDER	
2	This Court APPROVES the proposed revised deadline to file reply papers and LIMITS reply			
3	points ar	points and authorities to no more than 10 pages.		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
5	Dated: _	<b>December 7, 2011</b>	/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
6			UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
		JOINT STIPULAT	4 ION OF THE PARTIES; [PROPOSED] ORDER	