28

1 2 3 4 5 6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 JOSEPH ANTHONY BROWN, CASE NO. 1:11-CV-01562-MJS PC 10 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MISCELLANEOUS MOTIONS 11 (ECF NOS. 17, 18, 19, 21, 23) 12 ٧. 13 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al., 14 Defendants. 15 16 17 Plaintiff Joseph Anthony Brown, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, brings this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and Bivens v. Six Unknown Named Agents 18 19 of Federal Bureau of Narcotics, 403 U.S. 388 (1971). (Compl., ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff's September 15, 2011 Complaint was screened by the Court and dismissed 20 for failure to state a claim, but Plaintiff was given leave to file an amended complaint. 21 22 (Order Dismiss, ECF No. 12.) Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint on March 28, 2012 (First Am. Compl., ECF 23 No. 16) which the Court screened and dismissed with leave to amend. (Order Dismiss First 24 25 Am. Compl., ECF No. 22.) Before the Court are Plaintiff's Motions: to Clarify the First Amended Complaint 26 27 (Mot. Clarify, ECF No. 17), to Add a Named Defendant (Mot. Add Def., ECF No. 18), to

Add a Certificate of Service (Mot. Add Certif., ECF No. 19), and to Add and Amend

Supplemental Complaint to First Amended Complaint, the last of which the Court construes as motions to amend or supplement the First Amended Complaint. Also before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion for a Response regarding Service of the First Amended Complaint (Mot. For Resp., ECF No. 21), which the Court construes as a request for status.

These motions are moot. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint has been dismissed and he has been given thirty (30) days leave to file an amended complaint. He should review the May 2, 2012 second screening order to ensure his amended complaint complies.

The Court will direct the United States Marshal to serve an amended complaint only after the Court has screened it and determined that it contains cognizable claims for relief against the named Defendants.

Accordingly, Plaintiff's Motions (ECF Nos. 17, 18, 19, 21, 23) are hereby DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: May 4, 2012 Isl Michael J. Jeng UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE