

1 Vince M. Verde CA Bar No. 202472  
vince.verde@ogletreedeakins.com  
2 Angela Pak CA Bar No. 240177  
angela.pak@ogletreedeakins.com  
3 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH,  
SMOAK & STEWART, P.C.  
4 Park Tower, Suite 1500  
695 Town Center Drive  
5 Costa Mesa, CA 92626  
Telephone: 714.800.7900  
6 Facsimile: 714.754.1298

7 Attorneys for Defendant SYNAGRO WEST, LLC

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

11 MIMI PEREZ-FALCON,  
12 Plaintiff,  
13 v.  
14 SYNAGRO WEST, LLC; and DOES 1  
15 through 10, inclusive,  
16 Defendant.

Case No. 1:11-CV-01645-AWI-JLT  
**ORDER RE STIPULATION RE  
PROTECTIVE ORDER**  
**(Doc. 24)**

18  
19 Before the Court is the stipulation of the parties for a protective order related  
20 to confidential information. The stipulation was filed by the parties on November  
21 27, 2012 as docket entry 24.

22 The Court notes that the stipulation requires a meet and confer process to  
23 occur before any motion is filed with the Court related to the designation of the  
24 disputed document. (Doc. 24 at 6-7) Assuming this process is not successful in  
25 bringing about agreement, the parties agree the complaining party may file a motion  
26 for the Court to determine the dispute. *Id.* at 8. However, the parties fail to  
27 incorporate the Court's requirement, set forth in the scheduling order, for the parties  
28 to have a telephonic hearing with the Court *before* any discovery dispute is filed.

1 (Doc. 17 at 3-4) The Court concludes that a dispute related to this protective order  
2 falls within the procedures set forth in the scheduling order. Id. Thus, the Court will  
3 modify the stipulation to require compliance with the scheduling order in addition to  
4 any requirements set forth in the stipulation regarding disputes related to the  
5 confidentiality of documents.

6 **ORDER**

7 **GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED**  
8 that the provisions of the concurrently filed stipulation between the parties regarding  
9 the use and protection of Confidential Information (Doc. 24) shall be entered as the  
10 Order of the Court and be binding upon the parties with the following modification:

11 Before any attempt to obtain “Judicial Intervention” as set forth in paragraph  
12 6.3, the parties **SHALL** comply with paragraph V of the scheduling order (Doc. 17  
13 at 3-4).

14  
15 IT IS SO ORDERED.

16 Dated: November 27, 2012

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28