1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ROBERT LEWIS SMITH,	1:11-cv-01651-LJO-MJS (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	AMENDED ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT'S FIRST MOTION TO
13	V.	EXTEND TIME TO RESPOND TO FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT
14	S. PINA, et al.,	(ECF No. 25)
15	Defendants.	(LCI 140. 23)
16		
16 17	Plaintiff Robert Lewis ("Plaintif	ff") is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights
	·	ff") is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a
17	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	
17 18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983.	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having
17 18 19	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having
17 18 19 20	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having EREBY ORDERED that: to and including December 18, 2012, in which to
17 18 19 20 21	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI Defendant Pina is granted up respond to the first amended complaint IT IS SO ORDERED.	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having EREBY ORDERED that: to and including December 18, 2012, in which to int.
17 18 19 20 21 22	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI Defendant Pina is granted up respond to the first amended complaint IT IS SO ORDERED.	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having EREBY ORDERED that: to and including December 18, 2012, in which to int.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI Defendant Pina is granted up respond to the first amended complaint IT IS SO ORDERED.	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having EREBY ORDERED that: to and including December 18, 2012, in which to
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI Defendant Pina is granted up respond to the first amended complaint IT IS SO ORDERED.	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having EREBY ORDERED that: to and including December 18, 2012, in which to int.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI Defendant Pina is granted up respond to the first amended complaint IT IS SO ORDERED.	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having EREBY ORDERED that: to and including December 18, 2012, in which to int.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. motion to extend time to respond to the been presented to the Court, IT IS HI Defendant Pina is granted up respond to the first amended complaint IT IS SO ORDERED.	On November 27, 2012, Defendant Pina filed a the first amended complaint. Good cause having EREBY ORDERED that: to and including December 18, 2012, in which to int.

(PC) Smith v. Pina et al

Doc. 28