Plaintiff's motion to supplement the complaint and motion to amend the complaint are now before the Court.

28

Doc. 21

II. MOTION TO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL COMPLAINT

Plaintiff requests leave to file a supplemental complaint pursuant to Rule 15 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, to incorporate newly discovered adverse actions by defendants and to name a new defendant. In light of the fact that Plaintiff's Complaint was dismissed by the Court on April 13, 2012, with leave to file an amended complaint within thirty days, there is presently no complaint on file for Plaintiff to supplement. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion to file a supplemental complaint is moot and shall be denied. The Clerk shall be directed to return Plaintiff's proposed supplemental complaint to him.

III. MOTION TO AMEND

In his motion to amend, Plaintiff requests the Court to make changes for him to the amount of relief requested in the Complaint. Plaintiff is advised that he may not amend the complaint in this manner.

Local Rule 220 provides, in part:

Unless prior approval to the contrary is obtained from the Court, every pleading to which an amendment or supplement is permitted as a matter of right or has been allowed by court order shall be retyped and filed so that it is complete in itself without reference to the prior or superseded pleading. No pleading shall be deemed amended or supplemented until this Rule has been complied with. All changed pleadings shall contain copies of all exhibits referred to in the changed pleading.

L.R. 220.

Thus, under Rule 220, Plaintiff may not amend the Complaint by adding or changing information piecemeal after the Complaint has been filed. To change a portion of the Complaint, Plaintiff must file a new amended complaint which is complete within itself. Therefore, Plaintiff's motion to amend shall be denied.

IV. CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion to file a supplemental complaint, filed on November 23, 2011, is DENIED;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion to amend, filed on March 23, 2012, is DENIED; and

28 ///

1	2. The Clerk of Court is DIRECTED to return to Plaintiff the proposed supplements		
2		complaint lodged on No	ovember 23, 2011 (Doc. 17).
3			
4	IT IS SO	ORDERED.	
5	Dated: _	April 16, 2012	/s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6			UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
2425			
26			
27			
28			
20	II		