

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10  
11 LEONARD RANSOM, JR.,

12 Plaintiff,

13 v.

14 DANNY HERRERA and RICKY  
BRANNUM,

15 Defendants.  
16  
17

Case No. 1:11-cv-01709-LJO-EPG (PC)

ORDER RE: DEFENDANTS' REQUEST FOR  
CLARIFICATION REGARDING DUE DATE  
FOR PLAINTIFF'S DISCOVERY  
RESPONSES

(ECF NO. 141)

18 On April 20, 2018, Defendants requested clarification regarding whether the Court  
19 granted Plaintiff an extension of time to respond to their discovery requests at the discovery  
20 and status conference. (ECF No. 141). Defendants seek clarification in order to determine  
21 whether a motion to compel is appropriate at this time.

22 The Court did not grant Plaintiff an extension of time to respond to the discovery  
23 requests at issue. A motion to compel appears appropriate from Defendants' description of  
24 discovery status.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

25  
26 Dated: April 23, 2018

/s/ Eric P. Gray  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28