

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

LEONARD RANSOM, JR.,

Plaintiff,

v.

DANNY HERRERA and RICKY  
BRANNUM,

Defendants.

Case No. 1:11-cv-01709-LJO-EPG (PC)

ORDER GRANTING IN PART  
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF  
CORRECTIONS AND  
REHABILITATION’S REQUEST FOR AN  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO SERVE  
RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF’S  
SUBPOENA DUCES TECUM

(ECF NO. 171)

On June 13, 2018, non-party California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation (“CDCR”) filed a request for a thirty-day extension of time to serve Plaintiff with its responses to a portion of Plaintiff’s subpoena requests. (ECF No. 171).

CDCR needs more time because, while it has compiled all non-electronically stored responsive documents, responding to Plaintiff’s electronic discovery requests is a complex and time-consuming process.

The Court finds good cause to grant CDCR’s motion. However, the Court will only grant the motion in part. CDCR requests it be given until July 18, 2018, to serve Plaintiff with the responsive electronically stored documents. There is a settlement conference set for July 17, 2018. (ECF No. 138). As the documents may be relevant for settlement purposes, the Court will require that they be served on Plaintiff prior to the settlement conference, no later than July 12,

1 2018. The production may be served on Plaintiff's limited purpose counsel, Brian McComas, in  
2 an electronic format in order to facilitate the most efficient delivery.

3 If despite its best efforts, CDCR cannot fully comply with production before the settlement  
4 conference, it may move for a further extension of time. However, it should strive to complete or  
5 substantially complete production prior to July 12, 2018. Any further request for extension of  
6 time shall include CDCR's efforts to substantially comply by this deadline.

7 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that CDCR has until July 12, 2018, to serve  
8 Plaintiff with any electronically-stored documents responsive to the second category of  
9 documents that it was ordered by the Court to produce (ECF No. 151, p. 6). As discussed at the  
10 discovery conference on June 13, 2018, CDCR may comply with the service deadline by serving  
11 the documents electronically on Plaintiff's limited purpose counsel on or before July 12, 2018.

12  
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: June 14, 2018

/s/ Eric P. Gray  
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28