UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

THOMAS COOL SDV) 1:11cv01773 DLB PC
THOMAS GOOLSBY, Plaintiff, vs.	ORDER CONSTRUING DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS UNDER RULE 12(B)(6) AS A MOTION FOR PARTIAL SUMMARY JUDGMENT
GENTRY, et al., Defendants.	ORDER DIRECTING PARTIES TO SUBMIT ANY FURTHER BRIEFING WITHIN THIRTY (30) DAYS
	NOTICE AND WARNING OF REQUIREMENTS FOR OPPOSING DEFENDANTS' SUMMARY JUDGMENT MOTION

Plaintiff Thomas Goolsby ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in the custody of the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed this action on October 25, 2011.

On May 20, 2013, the Court screened Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint ("FAC") and found the following cognizable claims: (1) First Amendment retaliation claim against Defendants Gentry, Noyce, Eubanks, Tyree, Medrano, Holman, Holland and Steadman; and (2)

ΤU

violation of due process against Defendants Eubanks, Tyree, Medrano, Holland and Gutierrez.

The Court dismissed all other claims and Defendants.

On November 26, 2013, Defendants filed a Motion to Dismiss the due process claims based on failure to state a claim and failure to exhaust. Defendant Holman joined in the motion on December 13, 2013.

Plaintiff filed his opposition on January 6, 2014, and with Court permission, he filed a supplemental opposition on January 28, 2014.

Defendants filed their reply on March 13, 2014.

Plaintiff's motion to file a sur-reply is also pending.

After reviewing the pleadings and related evidence submitted by the parties, the Court ORDERS that the portion of Defendants' Motion to Dismiss based on Rule 12(b)(6) be converted into a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment. The parties SHALL submit any further briefing within thirty (30) days of the date of service of this order. The parties are relieved of their obligation to file a Separate Statement of Undisputed Facts.

The Court hereby notifies Plaintiff of the following rights and requirements for opposing the motion²:

1. A motion for summary judgment is a request for judgment on some or all of Plaintiff's claims in favor of Defendants without trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(a). Defendants' motion sets forth the facts which they contend are not reasonably subject to dispute and that entitle them to judgment as a matter of law. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(c).

¹ Defendants are not moving to dismiss the retaliation claim. The Court will address the exhaustion argument by separate order.

Woods v. Carey, Nos. 09-15548, 09-16113, 2012 WL 2626912 (9th Cir. Jul. 6, 2012); Rand v. Rowland, 154 F.3d 952 (9th Cir. 1998); Klingele v. Eikenberry, 849 F.2d 409 (9th Cir. 1988). The requirements have been tailored to fit the circumstances of this action.

2. Plaintiff has the right to oppose a motion for summary judgment. To oppose the motion, Plaintiff must show proof of his claims. Plaintiff may agree with the facts set forth in Defendants' motion but argue that Defendants are not entitled to judgment as a matter of law.

In the alternative, if Plaintiff does not agree with the facts set forth in Defendants' motion, he may show that Defendants' facts are disputed in one or more of the following ways:

(1) Plaintiff may rely upon statements made under the penalty of perjury in the complaint or the opposition if (a) the complaint or opposition shows that Plaintiff has personal knowledge of the matters stated and (b) Plaintiff calls to the Court's attention those parts of the complaint or opposition upon which Plaintiff relies; (2) Plaintiff may serve and file declarations setting forth the facts which Plaintiff believes prove his claims; (3) Plaintiff may rely upon written records but Plaintiff must prove that the records are what he claims they are; or (4) Plaintiff may rely upon all or any part of the transcript of one or more depositions, answers to interrogatories, or admissions obtained in this proceeding. Should Plaintiff fail to contradict Defendants' motion with declarations or other evidence, Defendants' evidence will be taken as truth, and final judgment may be entered without a full trial. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(e).

3. If discovery has not yet been opened or if discovery is still open and Plaintiff is not yet able to present facts to justify the opposition to the motion, the Court will consider a request to postpone consideration of Defendants' motion. Fed. R. Civ. P. 56(d). Any request to postpone consideration of Defendants' motion for summary judgment must include the following: (1) a declaration setting forth the specific facts Plaintiff hopes to elicit from further discovery, (2) a showing that the facts exist, and (3) a showing that the facts are essential to opposing the motion for summary judgment. Blough v. Holland Realty, Inc., 574 F.3d 1084, 1091 n.5 (9th Cir. 2009); Tatum v. City and County of San Francisco, 441 F.3d 1090, 1100-01 (9th Cir. 2006); State of California v. Campbell, 138 F.3d 772, 779 (9th Cir. 1998). The request to postpone the motion for summary judgment must identify what information is sought and how