1	
2	
3	
4	
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
7	
8	DENNIS R. HARRIS, 1:11-cv-01816-AWI-DLB PC
9	Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE
10	v. (DOC. 9)
11	MATTHEW CATE, et al., RESPONSE DUE WITHIN FOURTEEN DAYS
12	Defendants/
13	Disintiff Dannis D. Hamis ("Disintiff") is a California state missense messading one so in
14	Plaintiff Dennis R. Harris ("Plaintiff") is a California state prisoner proceeding pro se in
15	this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On November 1, 2011, the Court issued an
16	order regarding consenting or declining magistrate judge jurisdiction, requiring Plaintiff to
17	respond within thirty days. On December 19, 2011, the Court re-issued an order regarding
18	consent. On February 6, 2012, the Court re-issued an order regarding consent for a third time.
19	As of the date of this order, Plaintiff has not responded.
20	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff is to show cause within fourteen
21	(14) days from the date of service of this order why this action should not be dismissed for failure
22	to obey a court order and failure to prosecute. Failure to timely respond or otherwise show cause
23	will result in a recommendation of dismissal of this action for failure to obey a court order and
24	failure to prosecute.
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: May 25, 2012 /s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27 28	
28	1

(PC) Harris v. Cate et al

Doc. 11