

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10

11 MARCO PEREZ,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 D. G. ADAMS,

15 Defendant.  
16

1:11-cv-01820-GSA-PC

ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR COURT  
ORDER ALLOWING ACCESS TO THE  
LAW LIBRARY  
(Doc. 22.)

17 Marco Perez (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights  
18 action filed pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action  
19 on November 1, 2011. (Doc. 1.) This case now proceeds on the original Complaint against  
20 defendants Schneider, Carter, Pimental, and Byrum for use of excessive force and deliberate  
21 indifference to medical needs.<sup>1</sup> (Id.)

22 On February 23, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion for a court order directing prison officials  
23 to allow him access to the law library. (Doc. 22.) “A federal court may issue an injunction  
24 [only] if it has personal jurisdiction over the parties and subject matter jurisdiction over the  
25 claim; it may not attempt to determine the rights of persons not before the court.” Zepeda v.  
26 United States Immigration Service, 753 F.2d 719, 727 (9th Cir. 1985). Here, the order Plaintiff  
27

28 <sup>1</sup> On June 18, 2014, the court issued an order dismissing all other claims and defendants from  
this action, for failure to state a claim. (Doc. 9.)

1 seeks would require present actions by persons who are not defendants in this action and would  
2 not remedy any of the excessive force or medical claims upon which this action proceeds.  
3 Therefore, the court lacks jurisdiction to issue the order sought by Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's  
4 motion must be denied.

5 Based on the foregoing, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's motion for a court  
6 order allowing him access to the law library is DENIED.

7  
8 IT IS SO ORDERED.

9 Dated: February 24, 2015

/s/ Gary S. Austin  
10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE