(PC) Lawrie v. Allis	son et al I	Do
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MATTHEW ALAN LAWRIE,	1:11-cv-01821-GSA-PC
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY APPLICATIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS SHOULD NOT BE DENIED (Docs. 2, 6.)
13	VS.	
14	KATHLEEN ALLISON, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	ORDER FOR PLAINTIFF TO RESPOND
16	/	WITHIN THIRTY DAYS
17	Matthew Alan Lawrie ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights	
18	action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action on November	
19	1, 2011. (Doc. 1.) On November 8, 2011, Plaintiff consented to Magistrate Judge jurisdiction in this	
20	action, and no other parties have made an appearance. (Doc. 5.) Therefore, pursuant to Appendix	
21	A(k)(4) of the Local Rules of the Eastern District of California, the undersigned shall conduct any and	
22	all proceedings in the case until such time as reassignment to a District Judge is required. Local Rule	
23	Appendix A(k)(3).	
24	Plaintiff filed two applications to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915, on	
25	November 1, 2011 and again on November 10, 2011. (Docs. 2, 6.) Examination of Plaintiff's	
26	applications reveals that Plaintiff is able to afford the costs of this action. Specifically, Plaintiff declares	
27	under penalty of perjury that he has more than one million dollars in cash (which includes the balance	
28		1

Doc. 7

of checking or savings accounts), two IRA accounts, stocks, bonds, securities, other financial instruments, and other valuable assets. Application, Doc. 6 at 2. II. **ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE** Plaintiff is required to show cause why his applications to proceed in forma pauperis should not be denied. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: Within thirty days from the date of service of this order, Plaintiff shall file a written 1. response to the Court, showing cause why his applications to proceed in forma pauperis should not be denied; and 2. Plaintiff's failure to comply with this order will result in the dismissal of this action. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: December 8, 2011