

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ROBERT SAENZ,

Plaintiff,

v.

FRANK X. CHAVEZ, et al.,

Defendants.

---

Case No. 1:11-cv-01872-SKO (PC)

ORDER GRANTING THIRTY-DAY  
EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE  
OPPOSITION

(Doc. 40)

Plaintiff Robert Saenz, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on November 10, 2011. On July 20, 2015, the parties filed cross-motions for summary judgment. On July 30, 2015, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking a deadline to file his opposition to Defendant Lacey’s motion.

Pursuant to Local Rule 230(l), oppositions are due within twenty-one days from the date of service of the motion. However, Plaintiff states that without a court order setting forth a deadline, he is unable to access the law library. Accordingly, Plaintiff’s motion, construed as a motion for an extension of time, is **HEREBY GRANTED**, and he shall file his opposition to Defendant’s motion within **thirty (30) days** from the date of service of this order.  
**IT IS SO ORDERED.**

Dated: **July 31, 2015**

**/s/ Sheila K. Oberto**  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE