Doc. 5

Page 1 of 2

requires the Court to conduct a "careful examination of the order dismissing an action, and other relevant information," to determine if, in fact, "the action was dismissed because it was frivolous, malicious or failed to state a claim." *Andrews*, 398 F.3d 1113 at 1121.

The Court takes judicial notice of the following cases which counts as strikes: 1) *Stepter v. Childs, et al.*, 1:07-cv-01365-AWI-GSA (E.D. Cal.), Doc. 10 (dismissed March 12, 2009, for failure to state a claim); 2) *Stepter v. Childs, et al.*, 1:07-cv-01528-OWW-GSA (E.D. Cal.), Doc. 15 (dismissed June 13, 2008, for failure to state a claim); and 3) *Stepter v. Chavon Parks*, 2:11-cv-08802-UA-MLG (E.D. Cal.), Doc. 6 (dismissed November 2, 2011, for failure to state a claim).

Plaintiff has three or more strikes which occurred before Plaintiff filed this action on November 16, 2011. Moreover, Plaintiff does not demonstrate that he faced imminent danger of serious physical injury at the time he filed his complaint. Therefore, the Court finds that Plaintiff should be precluded from proceeding in forma pauperis and dismissal of Plaintiff's action is appropriate. *See Dupree v. Palmer*, 284 F.3d 1234, 1236 (11th Cir. 2002) (finding that denial of in forma pauperis status under § 1915(g) mandated dismissal since a prisoner must pay the filing fee at the time of initiating the suit).

Based on the foregoing, the Court HEREBY ORDERS:

- 1. Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis is DENIED pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g); and
- 2. This action is DISMISSED without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: December 22, 2011

LINITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE