1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 1:11-cv-01914-AWI-GSA (PC) NOEL RODRIGUEZ, 11 ORDER PERMITTING PLAINTIFF Plaintiff. 12 OPPORTUNITY TO WITHDRAW MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT AND FILE v. 13 OPPOSITION TO DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO DISMISS ISAAC, 14 (ECF Nos. 23, 27.) Defendant. 15 THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE 16 17 18 Plaintiff is a prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 19 1983. On June 30, 2015, Defendant Isaac ("Defendant") filed a motion to dismiss this case as 20 barred by the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel. (ECF No. 23.) On July 9, 2015 21 Plaintiff filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No. 27.) Both of these motions are 22 pending. On August 10, 2015, defense counsel filed a declaration in lieu of reply to Plaintiff's 23 opposition. (ECF No. 37.) In her declaration, defense counsel argues that Plaintiff failed to file a 24 timely opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss, and therefore the motion to dismiss stands 25 submitted without any opposition. (Id. at 2 ¶5.) 26 On August 20, 2015, Plaintiff filed a reply to defense counsel's declaration. (ECF No. 27 38.) Plaintiff argues that the motion to dismiss is not unopposed, because he filed his motion for 28

summary judgment in timely opposition to the motion to dismiss. Plaintiff acknowledges that he "made a mistake, due to my lack of legal education, by filing a motion for summary judgment" instead of an opposition to the motion to dismiss. (ECF No. 38 at 2 ¶8.)

The court finds good cause to allow Plaintiff to correct his mistake. The Court shall open a thirty-day time period for Plaintiff to replace his motion for summary judgment with an opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss, if he so wishes. Plaintiff has two options upon receipt of this order. Plaintiff may either (1) withdraw his motion for summary judgment of July 9, 2015 and file an opposition to Defendant's motion to dismiss of June 30, 2015, or (2) proceed with the motion to dismiss unopposed. The opposition, if any, must be complete in itself and must not refer back to any prior document. L.R. 220.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. Plaintiff may, within **thirty** (**30**) **days** from the date of service of this order, withdraw his motion for summary judgment of July 9, 2015 <u>and</u> file an opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss of June 30, 2015;
- 2. If Plaintiff elects to withdraw the motion for summary judgment and file an opposition, Defendant may file a reply pursuant to Local Rule 230(1); and
- 3. If Plaintiff does not comply with this order, the court shall deem Defendant's motion to dismiss submitted without opposition.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 25, 2015 /s/ Gary S. Austin
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

¹ If Plaintiff chooses to replace his motion for summary judgment with an opposition to the motion to dismiss, Plaintiff is not precluded from bringing another motion for summary judgment.