1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
<u>7</u>	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10	BRENT ADLER,	CASE NO. 1:11-cv-1915-LJO-MJS (PC)
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS TO DENY
12	V.	MOTION TO REVOKE PLAINTIFF'S IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND REQUIRE
13 14	F. GONZALEZ, et al.,	PLAINTIFF TO SUBMIT AN UPDATED FINANCIAL AFFIDAVIT
14	Defendants.	
15		THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE
15 16		THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE
16	Plaintiff is a former state prisoner	THIRTY (30) DAY DEADLINE who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i>
16 17		
16 17 18	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i>
16 17	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released
16 17 18 19	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against
16 17 18 19 20	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF Defendants on Plaintiff's First Amendmen 62.)	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against
16 17 18 19 20 21	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF Defendants on Plaintiff's First Amendmen 62.) On July 1, 2015, the Magistrate Ju	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against nt Free Exercise claim. (ECF Nos. 37, 38, 59,
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF Defendants on Plaintiff's First Amendmen 62.) On July 1, 2015, the Magistrate Ju deny Defendants' motion to revoke Plain	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against Int Free Exercise claim. (ECF Nos. 37, 38, 59, udge issued findings and recommendations to tiff's <i>in forma pauperis</i> status and to require
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF Defendants on Plaintiff's First Amendmen 62.) On July 1, 2015, the Magistrate Ju deny Defendants' motion to revoke Plain Plaintiff to submit an updated financial af	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against Int Free Exercise claim. (ECF Nos. 37, 38, 59, adge issued findings and recommendations to tiff's <i>in forma pauperis</i> status and to require fidavit. (ECF No. 75.) Neither party filed
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF Defendants on Plaintiff's First Amendmen 62.) On July 1, 2015, the Magistrate Ju deny Defendants' motion to revoke Plain Plaintiff to submit an updated financial af objections to the findings and recommen	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against Int Free Exercise claim. (ECF Nos. 37, 38, 59, adge issued findings and recommendations to tiff's <i>in forma pauperis</i> status and to require fidavit. (ECF No. 75.) Neither party filed dations.
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF Defendants on Plaintiff's First Amendmen 62.) On July 1, 2015, the Magistrate Ju deny Defendants' motion to revoke Plain Plaintiff to submit an updated financial af objections to the findings and recommen In accordance with the provisions	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro</i> se and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against Int Free Exercise claim. (ECF Nos. 37, 38, 59, adge issued findings and recommendations to tiff's <i>in forma pauperis</i> status and to require fidavit. (ECF No. 75.) Neither party filed dations. of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), the Court has
 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 	forma pauperis on November 17, 2011. (from prison and obtained counsel. (ECF Defendants on Plaintiff's First Amendmen 62.) On July 1, 2015, the Magistrate Ju deny Defendants' motion to revoke Plain Plaintiff to submit an updated financial af objections to the findings and recommen In accordance with the provisions	who initiated this civil rights action <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i> ECF No. 1.) Plaintiff has since been released Nos. 36 & 51.) This action proceeds against Int Free Exercise claim. (ECF Nos. 37, 38, 59, adge issued findings and recommendations to tiff's <i>in forma pauperis</i> status and to require fidavit. (ECF No. 75.) Neither party filed dations.

1	Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by		
2	proper analysis.		
3	Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:		
4	1) The Court adopts the Findings and Recommendations filed July 1, 2015 (ECF		
5 6	No. 75) in full;		
7	2) Defendants' motion to revoke Plaintiff's IFP status (ECF No. 68) is DENIED;		
8	3) Plaintiff shall have thirty (30) days from the date of service of this order in		
9	which to:		
10	a. Submit an updated financial affidavit in accordance with 28 U.S.C. §		
11	1915(a)(1); or		
12	b. Pay his outstanding obligation on the \$400 filing fee in full;		
13	4) Failure to submit updated financial information or pay the filing fee shall result		
14 15	in dismissal of the action without prejudice for failure to prosecute and failure		
15	to obey a court order.		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
18			
19	Dated: August 6, 2015 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE		
20	5)		
21			
22			
23			
24			
25 26			
20 27			
28			
	2		