

1 According the parties' stipulation, the extension is required "in order to properly address the
2 issues within the administrative record in this matter." (Doc. 13 at 2). Significantly, the parties should
3 be familiar with the issues due to the briefing required prior to the filing of an opening brief with the
4 Court. Presumably, the parties complied with the scheduling order, because the deadlines are
5 considered "firm, real and are to be taken seriously by parties and their counsel." *Shore v. Brown*,
6 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94828 at *7 (E.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2009). Consequently, Plaintiff has not
7 demonstrated good cause for an extension beyond the thirty days permitted by stipulation under the
8 Scheduling Order.

9 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 10 1. The parties' request for an extension of time is **GRANTED IN PART**; and
- 11 2. Plaintiff **SHALL** file an opening brief on or before **August 17, 2012**.

12
13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

14 Dated: July 21, 2012

/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE