

1 KEVIN B. BRIGGS
County Counsel
2 MICHAEL R. LINDEN
Deputy County Counsel – State Bar No. 192485
3 2220 Tulare Street, Ste. 500
4 Fresno, California 93721
Telephone: (559) 600-3479
5 Facsimile: (559) 600-3480
6 Attorneys for Defendants
MARGARET MIMS, et al.
7

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10

11 QUENTIN HALL, SHAWN GONZALEZ,
SHARON CHAVEZ, ROBERT
12 MERRYMAN, DAWN SINGH, CARLTON
FIELDS, and BRIAN MURPHY, on behalf
13 of themselves and all others similarly
14 situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 MARGARET MIMS, Sheriff, Fresno
County; EDWARD MORENO, M.D.,
18 Director, Fresno County Department of
Public Health; GEORGE LAIRD, Ph.D.,
19 Division Manager, Division of
20 Correctional Health, Fresno County
Department of Public Health; PRATAP
21 NARAYEN, M.D., Medical Director,
Division of Correctional Health, Fresno
22 County Department of Public Health;
RICK HILL, Captain of Detention, Fresno
23 County Sheriff's Office; MARILYNN
24 WELDON, Captain of Inmate Programs
and Contracts, Fresno County Sheriff's
25 Office,

26 Defendants.
27
28

Case No. 1:11-cv-02047 LJO BAM

**STIPULATION AND ORDER
MODIFYING PRELIMINARY
SCHEDULING ORDER TO SET LAST
DAY TO SERVE INITIAL
DISCLOSURES**

1 Defendants MARGARET MIMS, et al. (hereinafter "Defendants"), and plaintiffs
2 QUENTIN HALL, et al. (hereinafter "Plaintiffs"), through their respective counsel of
3 record, respectfully submit this Stipulation to modify the existing Preliminary Scheduling
4 Order to set a last day to serve Initial Disclosures, pursuant to Rule 26(a)(1) of the
5 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

6 WHEREAS, on June 19, 2012, the Court conducted a mandatory scheduling
7 conference.

8 WHEREAS, on June 20, 2012, the Court issued its Preliminary Scheduling Order,
9 which did not include a date for the service of Initial Disclosures.

10 THEREFORE, Plaintiffs and Defendants, by and through their counsel, hereby
11 stipulate that the last day for the parties to serve their Initial Disclosures is July 31,
12 2012. No further extensions of time for the service of the parties' Initial Disclosures will
13 be granted. However, this stipulation and order does not affect the ability of the parties
14 to make supplemental disclosures, pursuant to Rule 26(a)(3) of the Federal Rules of
15 Civil Procedure.

16 **IT IS SO STIPULATED.**

17 Dated: June 29, 2012

18 KEVIN B. BRIGGS
19 County Counsel

20 By: /s/ Michael Linden
21 Michael R. Linden, Deputy
22 Attorneys for Defendants
MARGARET MIMS, et al.

23 Dated: June 29, 2012

24 COOLEY LLP

25
26 By: /s/ Maureen Alger
27 Maureen P. Alger
28 Attorney for Plaintiffs

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ORDER

Based on the Stipulation of the parties (Doc. 41), and for good cause shown, the Court modifies the Scheduling Order (Doc. 39) to permit the parties to serve initial disclosures no later than July 31, 2012.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 11, 2012

/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE