1		
1		
2 3		
3 4		
5		
6		
7		
8		FES DISTRICT COUDT
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		TRICI OF CALIFORNIA
11	TIMOTHY LECOMPTE,	) Case No.: 1:11cv02105 LJO DLB (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	)
13	v.	<ul><li>) ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFF'S FAILURE</li><li>) TO OPPOSE DEFENDANTS'</li></ul>
14	DHAH, et al.,	) MOTIONS FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
15	Defendants.	) TWENTY-ONE DAY DEADLINE
16		) _)
17	Plaintiff Timothy LeCompte ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner in the custody of the California	
18	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). Plaintiff is proceeding pro se in this civil	
19 20	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. On February 1, 2013, the Court ordered that the action	
20	proceed on Plaintiff's Eighth Amendment claim against Defendants Dhah, Moon, Wang, Macias and	
21 22	Zamora.	
22	On September 2, 2014, Defendant Dhah filed a motion for summary judgment.	
23	On October 6, 2014, Defendants Macias, Moon, Wang and Zamora filed their motion for	
25	summary judgment.	
26		
27		
28		
		1

Both motions contained the requirements for opposing summary judgment. However, Plaintiff has not filed an opposition to either motion.<sup>1</sup> This order serves to notify Plaintiff that if he does not file an opposition to the pending motions within twenty-one (21) days of the date of this order, they will be decided without his input. Defendants may file a reply to Plaintiff's oppositions, if any, within seven (7) days of the date of service. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1s/ Dennis L. Beck Dated: **December 2, 2014** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE <sup>1</sup> On September 18, 2014, an order was returned to this Court as "Undeliverable, Inmate Refused." However, a subsequent order was not returned.