

1 DOWNNEY BRAND LLP
2 KEVIN M. SEIBERT (Bar No. 119356)
3 THOMAS E. MARRS (Bar No. 252485)
4 621 Capitol Mall, 18th Floor
5 Sacramento, CA 95814
Telephone: (916) 444-1000
Facsimile: (916) 444-2100
kseibert@downeybrand.com
tmarrs@downeybrand.com

6 Attorneys for Plaintiff
TRI-DAM

12 TRI-DAM,
13 Plaintiff,
14 v.
15 ANDREANA MICHAEL,
16 Defendant.

Case No. 1:11-cv-02138-AWI-SMS

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO
NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINE
TO COMPLETE PREVIOUSLY SCHEDULED
DISCOVERY**

Case No. 1:11-cv-02138-AWI-SMS

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO EXTEND
NON-EXPERT DISCOVERY DEADLINE
TO COMPLETE PREVIOUSLY SERVED
DISCOVERY**

Plaintiff Tri-Dam (“Tri-Dam”) and defendant Andreana Michael (“Michael”), by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby submit the following Stipulation and Proposed Order To Extend Non-Expert Discovery Deadline To Complete Previously Served Discovery.

RECITALS

1. This action commenced on December 27, 2011, with the filing of Tri-Dam's Complaint.

2. The Complaint seeks a permanent injunction against Michael related to shoreline improvements on real property she owns in Calaveras County.

3. Michael answered the Complaint on April 19, 2012.

4. The Court's Scheduling Order provided for a February 15, 2013, non-expert discovery deadline.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
5. On December 28, 2012, Tri-Dam's counsel mailed Requests for Production of Documents, Interrogatories, and Requests for Admissions, Set One ("Written Discovery"), to Michael's counsel at the correct street address, but inadvertently addressed to their old suite number (Suite 101), instead of the current one (Suite 900). The Written Discovery was not returned as undeliverable. On February 4, 2013, Tri-Dam's counsel inquired why they had not received responses to the Written Discovery. On February 5, Michael's counsel notified Tri-Dam's counsel that they had not received the Written Discovery by mail and that they believed service was improper. Tri-Dam re-served its Written Discovery requests to Michael at the correct suite number that same day. If February 5, 2013, is used as the date of service, Michael's responses to the Written Discovery would be due on March 12, 2013.

6. On January 17, 2013, Tri-Dam noticed Michael's deposition and the deposition of John Lupo ("Lupo") for February 15. Michael's counsel subsequently informed Tri-Dam's counsel that he was not available to attend the depositions on that day. On February 1, Michael noticed Tri-Dam's deposition on an unspecified date. The parties met and conferred and agreed to reschedule the depositions for February 19, 2013, and, if necessary, February 20, 2013.

7. In order to accommodate the schedules of the parties and counsel, and to avoid burdening the Court with motion practice, the parties agree to complete Michael's, Lupo's, and Tri-Dam's depositions, as well as Tri-Dam's Written Discovery, after the current February 15, 2013, non-expert discovery deadline. Accordingly, Tri-Dam and Michael believe good cause exists for a continuance of the current non-expert discovery deadline to March 12, 2013, for the limited purpose of completing this previously served discovery.

STIPULATION

Based on the foregoing recitals, Tri-Dam and Michael hereby STIPULATE that:

1. The non-expert discovery deadline is continued from February 15, 2013, to March 12, 2013, for the limited purpose of completing previously served discovery.

1
2. Michael's and Lupo's depositions will occur on February 19, 2013. Upon
3 completion of those depositions, on February 19, 2013, and February 20, 2013, if necessary,
4 Michael will take Tri-Dam's deposition.

5
3. Tri-Dam agrees that Michael's responses to the Written Discovery will be timely
6 so long as they are served on or before March 12, 2013. Michael agrees that the Written
7 Discovery is not untimely based on the original February 15, 2013, non-expert discovery
deadline.

8
4. This continuance of the deadline to complete previously served non-expert
9 discovery will not affect any other deadlines in this action.

10
5. All remaining dates and deadlines as set by the Court in its September 10, 2012,
11 Scheduling Order, are otherwise unaffected by this Stipulation and Proposed Order.

12 IT IS SO STIPULATED, THROUGH COUNSEL OF RECORD.

13
14 DATED: February 13, 2013 DOWNEY BRAND LLP
15

16
17 By: /s/ Thomas E. Marrs
18 THOMAS E. MARRS
Attorney for Plaintiff TRI-DAM

19 DATED: February 13, 2013 PARR LAW GROUP
20

21 By: /s/ Shawn R. Parr (as authorized on 2/13/13)
22 SHAWN R. PARR
23 Attorney for Defendant
24 ANDREANA MICHAEL
25
26
27
28

ORDER

2 This matter came before the Court on the Stipulation and Proposed Order To Extend
3 Non-Expert Discovery Deadline To Complete Previously Served Discovery. For the reasons
4 stated in the Stipulation and for good cause showing, the Court ADOPTS the Stipulation and
5 GRANTS the relief requested therein.

6 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT the non-expert discovery deadline is
7 continued, from February 15, 2013, to March 12, 2013, for the limited purpose of completing
8 previously served discovery.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

28

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: February 15, 2013

John Blum
SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE

~~SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE~~