1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	MARK A. NAVA., et al.,) Case No.: 1:12-cv-00010 AWI JLT	
12	Plaintiffs,	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR THE PARTIES	
13	v.) FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH THE ORDERS OF) THE COURT FAILURE TO APPEAR AT THE	
14	CITY OF SHAFTER, et al.,) MID-DISCOVERY STATUS CONFERENCE	
15	Defendants.)	
16)	
17	On May 31, 2012, the Court issued its scheduling order. (Doc. 18) In this order, the Court set		
18	a mid-discovery status conference to occur on December 18, 2012 at 9:00 a.m. and ordered the parties		
19	to file a joint status conference report one week before the hearing. <u>Id</u> . at 2-3. However, the parties		
20	failed to file the report and failed to appear at the hearing. Notably, the scheduling order warns the		
21	parties, "Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions." <u>Id</u> . at 7.		
22	ORDER		
23	1. Within 14 days, the parties and counsel SHALL show cause why sanctions should not		
24	be imposed based upon their failure to comply with the Court's orders and failure to appear at the mid		
25	discovery status conference;		
26	///		
27			
28			

1	2.	Within 14 days, counse	el SHALL file a joint mid-discovery status conference statement
2	setting forth the status of discovery. After reviewing the statement, the Court will determine whether a		
3	hearing is n	necessary.	
4			
5	IT IS SO O	RDERED.	
6	Dated:	December 18, 2012	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston
7			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24 25			
25 26			
20 27			
١ /			