| 1 | | |
|----------|--|---|
| 2 | | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 5 | | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT | |
| 9 | EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 10 | | |
| 11 | MARK A. NAVA, et al., |) Case No.: 1:12-cv-00010 JLT |
| 12 | Plaintiffs, |) ORDER TO DEFENDANTS AND THEIR) COUNSEL TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS |
| 13 | v. | SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILING TO APPEAR AT THE PRETRIAL CONFERENCE |
| 14 | CITY OF SHAFTER, et al., |) ATTEAKAT THE TRETKIAL CONTERENCE) |
| 15 | Defendants. |)_) |
| 16 | | - |
| 17 | On February 6, 2014, the Court re-set the pretrial conference to February 12, 2014 after it had | |
| 18 | been vacated previously. (Doc. 55) Nevertheless, at the time set for the conference, Defendants and | |
| 19 | their counsel failed to appear. (Doc. 56) | |
| 20 | Therefore, no later than five court days from the date of this order, Defendants and their | |
| 21 | counsel SHALL show cause in writing why sanctions should not be imposed for their failure to appear | |
| 22 | at the pretrial conference. | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 25 | IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 26 | Dated: February 13, 2014 | /s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | | |
| | | 1 |
| | | |