1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	μνιτές στατι	ES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
8			
9	ALFREDO MORENO,	CASE NO. 1:12-cv-00025-SKO PC	
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITH PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO STATE	
11	V.	A CLAIM UPON WHICH RELIEF MAY BE GRANTED UNDER SECTION 1983	
12	MATTHEW L. CATES, et al.,	(Docs. 1 and 8)	
13	Defendants.	ORDER THAT DISMISSAL IS SUBJECT	
14		TO 28 U.S.C. § 1915(G)	
15			
16	Plaintiff Alfredo Moreno, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed this		
17	civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on January 5, 2012. On November 1, 2012, the		
18	Court dismissed Plaintiff's complaint for failure to state a claim and ordered Plaintiff to file an		
19	amended complaint within thirty days. 28 U.S.C. § 1915A; 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). On November 21,		
20	2012, Plaintiff's motion for a sixty-day extension of time to amend was granted. However, more		
21	than sixty days have passed and Plaintiff has not complied with or otherwise responded to the		
22	Court's order. As a result, there is no pleading on file which sets forth any claims upon which relief		
23	may be granted.		
24	Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A and 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e), this action is		
25	HEREBY DISMISSED, with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to state a claim upon which relief		
26	///		
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

1	may be granted under section 1983. This dismissal is subject to the "three-strikes" provision set	
2	forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g). Silva v. Di Vittorio, 658 F.3d 1090, 1098-99 (9th Cir. 2011).	
3		
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: February 20, 2013 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto   UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7	UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	$\gamma$	