

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8  
9  
10  
11 LAMAR SINGLETON, SR., ) 1:12cv00043 AWI DLB PC  
12 Plaintiff, )  
13 vs. ) ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND  
14 M.D. BITER, et al., ) RECOMMENDATIONS REGARDING  
15 Defendants. ) PLAINTIFF’S MOTIONS FOR  
 ) INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  
 ) (Document Nos. 69, 70, 72)  
 )  
16

17 Plaintiff Lamar Singleton, Sr., (“Plaintiff”) is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in  
18 forma pauperis in this civil rights action. This action is proceeding against Defendants Biter and  
19 Lopez for violation of the Eighth Amendment.

20 On May 22 and 27, 2014, Plaintiff filed motions which the Court construed as motions  
21 for injunctive relief. The matters were referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to  
22 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

23 On May 29, 2014, the Magistrate Judge issued [Findings and Recommendations](#) that  
24 Plaintiff’s motions be denied. The Findings and Recommendations were served on the parties  
25 and contained notice that any objections were to be filed within twenty-one days. Neither party  
26 has filed objections.  
27  
28

1           In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted  
2 a de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the  
3 Findings and Recommendations are supported by the record and by proper analysis.

4           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 5           1.       The Findings and Recommendations, filed May 29, 2014, are ADOPTED in  
6                   full; and  
7           2.       Plaintiff's motions for injunctive relief (Documents 69 and 70) are DENIED.  
8

9 IT IS SO ORDERED.

10 Dated: July 2, 2014

11   
12 \_\_\_\_\_  
13 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28