1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
9		
10		
11	MARK CURTIS ORTEGA,	Case No. 1:12-cv-00070-AWI-SKO-HC
12	Petitioner,	ORDER RE: FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS (DOC. 30)
13	V.	ORDER DENYING THE PETITION FOR WRIT
14		OF HABEAS CORPUS (DOC. 1) AND DIRECTING THE ENTRY OF JUDGMENT FOR RESPONDENT
15	MARTIN BITER, Warden,	
16	Respondent.	ORDER DECLINING TO ISSUE A CERTIFICATE OF APPEALABILITY
17		
18	Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma	
19	pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28	
20	U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge	
21	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rules 302 through 304.	
22	On March 30, 2015, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and	
23	recommendations to deny the petition for writ of habeas corpus,	
24	direct the entry of judgment for Respondent, and decline to issue a	
25	certificate of appealability. The findings and recommendations were	
26	served on all parties on the same date. The findings and	
27	recommendations advised the parties that objections could be filed	
28	within thirty days and replies within fourteen days after the filing	
	1	

Π

of objections. Although the thirty-day period for the filing of
objections has passed, no objections have been filed.

In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a <u>de novo</u> review of the case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds that the report and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court will adopt the findings and recommendations in full. Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

1) The petition for writ of habeas corpus is DENIED; and

The Clerk is DIRECTED to enter judgment for Respondent; and
The Court DECLINES to issue a certificate of appealability.

13 IT IS SO ORDERED.

¹⁴ Dated: <u>June 12, 2015</u>

SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE