

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
5

6 ISAAC MILLER,

7 Plaintiff,

8 v.

9 HANFORD POLICE OFFICER STEVE
10 SCHMITZ, et al.,

11 Defendants.
12 _____/

Case No. 1:12-cv-0137 LJO SAB

ORDER APPROVING DEFENDANTS'
MOTION TO APPROVE ITS SECURITY
AND TO STAY EXECUTION OF
JUDGMENT

(Docs. 150 & 151)

13 On January 9, 2014, Defendants Officer Steve Schmitz and the City of Hanford (collectively
14 "Defendants") moved to stay execution of the Court's December 2, 2013 judgment and posted a check
15 in the amount of \$581,250 as security. In response, the Court noted that Defendants' submission did
16 not appear to be in full compliance with Local Rule 151. The Court therefore ordered Defendants to
17 correct these deficiencies.

18 On January 16, 2014, Defendants filed an "Amended Agreement for Distribution of Security,"
19 which appears to be in full compliance with Local Rule 151. Accordingly, pursuant to Federal Rule of
20 Civil Procedure 62(d), the Court APPROVES Defendants' security in lieu of a supersedeas bond and
21 orders a STAY of any execution of the December 2, 2013 judgment until this Court has resolved the
22 pending motion for new trial and, if necessary, any appeal filed by Defendants has been resolved.
23

24 IT IS SO ORDERED.

25 Dated: January 17, 2014

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
26 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27
28