1			
2			
3			
4			
5	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT	
6	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7			
8		) 1: 12 CV 00137 LJO DLB	
9	ISAAC MILLER,		
10	Plaintiff,	SCHEDULING ORDER (Fed.R.Civ.P 16)	
11	VS.	Initial Disclosures: June 29, 2012	
12		Discovery Deadline: April 26, 2013	
13	HANFORD POLICE OFFICER STEVE	Non-Dispositive Motion Deadlines:	
14	SCHMITZ, et al.,,	Filing: May 1, 2013 Hearing: May 24, 2013	
15	Defendants	Dispositive Motion Deadlines:	
16	RONALD SILVA,	Filing: June 4, 2013	
17	Counter-Claimant,	) Hearing: July 30, 2013	
18		Settlement Conference: October 17, 2012 at 10:00 a.m.	
19	VS.	Courtroom 9	
20		Pre-Trial Conference:	
21	ISAAC MILLER,	September 25, 2013 at 8:30 a.m. Courtroom 4	
22	Counter Defendant.	) Trial: November 19, 2013 at 8:30 a.m.	
23		Courtroom 4 JT 7 to 8 days	
24			
25	I. Date of Scheduling Conference		
26	May 15, 2012.		
		1	

1	II.	Appearances of Counsel
2		Kevin G. Little appeared on behalf of Plaintiff.
3		Daniel L. Wainwright appeared on behalf of defendants Steve Schmitz and City
4	of Hanford.	
5		Michael R. Johnson appeared on behalf of defendant and counter-claimant
6	Ronald Silva.	
7	III.	Discovery Plan and Cut-Off Date
8		The parties are ordered to exchange the initial disclosures required by Fed .R. Civ.
9	P. 26(a)(1) on	or before June 29, 2012.
10 11		The parties are ordered to complete all discovery on or before April 26, 2013.
12		The parties are directed to disclose all expert witnesses, in writing, on or before
13	January 11, 20	013, and to disclose all supplemental experts on or before February 1, 2013. The
14		ation of retained and non-retained experts shall be made pursuant to Fed. R. Civ.
15		2), (A), (B) and (C) and shall include all information required thereunder. Failure
16		xperts in compliance with this order may result in the Court excluding the
17		
18	testimony or c	other evidence offered through such experts that are not disclosed pursuant to this
19	order.	
20		The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(4) and (5) shall apply to all discovery
21	relating to exp	perts and their opinions. Experts must be fully prepared to be examined on all
22	subjects and o	pinions included in the designation. Failure to comply will result in the imposition
23	of sanctions, v	which may include striking the expert designation and preclusion of expert
24	testimony.	
25		

The provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(e) regarding a party's duty to timely supplement disclosures and responses to discovery requests will be strictly enforced.

## IV. Pre-Trial Motion Schedule

All Non-Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions, including any discovery motions, shall be filed no later than May 1, 2013 and heard on or before May 24, 2013. Non-dispositive motions are heard on Fridays at 9:00 a.m., before the Honorable Dennis L. Beck, United States Magistrate Judge in Courtroom 9. Counsel must comply with Local Rule 251 with respect to discovery disputes or the motion will be denied without prejudice and dropped from calendar.

## **Discovery Disputes**

No written discovery motions shall be filed without the prior approval of the Magistrate Judge. A party with a discovery dispute must first confer with the opposing party in a good faith effort to resolve by agreement the issues in dispute. If that good faith effort is unsuccessful, the moving party shall then seek a prompt conference with the Magistrate Judge by telephone. The Clerk will inform counsel of the time and date of the telephone conference and it shall be the responsibility of the moving party to initiate the telephone conference call to chambers. The recording of telephone hearings or conferences with the Court is prohibited, except with prior permission of the Court. The request for a conference with the Court carries with it a professional representation by the lawyer that a conference has taken place and that he or she has made a good faith effort to resolve the dispute.

The lawyers or unrepresented parties shall supply the judicial officer with the particular discovery materials (such as objectionable answers to interrogatories) that are needed to understand the dispute.

1

2

3

4

In scheduling such motions, the Magistrate Judge may grant applications for an order shortening time pursuant to Local Rule 144(e). However, if counsel does not obtain an order shortening time, the notice of motion must comply with Local Rule 251.

Counsel may appear and argue non-dispositive motions by telephone, providing a written request to so appear is made to the Magistrate Judge's Courtroom Clerk no later than five (5) court days before the noticed hearing date. In the event that more than one attorney requests to appear by telephone then it shall be the obligation of the moving part(ies) to arrange and originate a conference call to the court.

All Dispositive Pre-Trial Motions shall be filed no later than June 4, 2013 and heard no later than July 30, 2013, in Courtroom 4 before the Honorable Lawrence J. O'Neill, United States District Court Judge. In scheduling such motions, counsel shall comply with Fed.R.Civ.P 56 and Local Rules 230 and 260.

Motions for Summary Judgment or Summary Adjudication

Prior to filing a motion for summary judgment or motion for summary adjudication the parties are ORDERED to meet, in person or by telephone, and confer to discuss the issues to be raised in the motion.

The purpose of the meeting shall be to: 1) avoid filing motions for summary judgment where a question of fact exists; 2) determine whether the respondent agrees that the motion has merit in whole or in part; 3) discuss whether issues can be resolved without the necessity of briefing; 4) narrow the issues for review by the court; 5) explore the possibility of settlement before the parties incur the expense of briefing a summary judgment motion; 6) to arrive at a joint statement of undisputed facts.

ne joint he moving s have met and		
s have met and		
s have met and		
conferred as ordered above or set forth a statement of good cause for the failure to meet and		
eill.		
Local Rule		
281(a)(2). The parties are further directed to submit a digital copy of their pretrial statement in		
Word format, directly to Judge O'Neill's chambers by email at LJOOrders@caed.uscourts.gov.		
ales of Practice		
for the Eastern District of California, as to the obligations of counsel in preparing for the pre-triat		
tion to the		
t Statement of		
the case to be used by the Court to explain the nature of the case to the jury during voir dire.		
ble Lawrence		
J. O'Neill, United States District Court Judge.		
the Eastern		

## VII. Settlement Conference

A Settlement Conference is scheduled for October 17, 2012 at 10:00 a.m.in Courtroom 9 before the Honorable Dennis L. Beck, U.S. Magistrate Judge.

Unless otherwise permitted in advance by the Court, the attorneys who will try the case shall appear at the Settlement Conference with the parties and the person or persons having full authority to negotiate and settle the case on any terms at the conference.

## CONFIDENTIAL SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE STATEMENT

At least five (5) court days prior to the Settlement Conference the parties shall submit, directly to Judge Beck's chambers by e-mail to DLBOrders@caed.uscourts.gov, a Confidential Settlement Conference Statement. The statement should not be filed with the Clerk of the Court nor served on any other party, although the parties may file a Notice of Lodging of Settlement Conference Statement Each statement shall be clearly marked "confidential" with the date and time of the Settlement Conference indicated prominently thereon.

The Confidential Settlement Conference Statement shall include the following:

A. A brief statement of the facts of the case.

B. A brief statement of the claims and defenses, i.e., statutory or other grounds upon which the claims are founded; a forthright evaluation of the parties' likelihood of prevailing on the claims and defenses; and a description of the major issues in dispute.

C. A summary of the proceedings to date.

D. An estimate of the cost and time to be expended for further discovery,
pretrial and trial.

E. The relief sought.

F. The party's position on settlement, including present demands and offers and a history of past settlement discussions, offers and demands.

VIII. Request for Bifurcation, Appointment of Special Master, or other Techniques to Shorten Trial

Not applicable at this time.

IX. Related Matters Pending

There are no pending related matters.

X. Compliance with Federal Procedure

All counsel are expected to familiarize themselves with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice of the Eastern District of California, and to keep abreast of any amendments thereto. The Court must insist upon compliance with these Rules if it is to efficiently handle its increasing case load and sanctions will be imposed for failure to follow the Rules as provided in both the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of Practice for the Eastern District of California.

XI. Effect of this Order

The foregoing order represents the best estimate of the court and counsel as to the agenda most suitable to dispose of this case. The trial date reserved is specifically reserved for this case. If the parties determine at any time that the schedule outlined in this order cannot be met, counsel are ordered to notify the court immediately of that fact so that adjustments may be made, either by stipulation or by subsequent status conference.

Stipulations extending the deadlines contained herein will not be considered unless they are accompanied by affidavits or declarations, and where appropriate attached exhibits, which establish good cause for granting the relief requested.

26

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1	Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions.
2	
3	IT IS SO ORDERED.
4	Dated: May 23, 2012 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
5	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
	8