

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
8  
9  
10

11 W. C. SPIVEY, III,

12 Petitioner,

13 v.

14 CONNIE GIPSON, Warden,

15 Respondent.  
16

Case No. 1:12-cv-00206-LJO-SKO-HC

ORDER RE: FINDINGS AND  
RECOMMENDATIONS (DOC. 35)

ORDER DENYING PETITIONER'S MOTION  
FOR A STAY (DOCS. 30, 12, 34)

17  
18 Petitioner is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma  
19 pauperis with a petition for writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28  
20 U.S.C. § 2254. The matter was referred to the Magistrate Judge  
21 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rules 302 through 304.

22 On August 26, 2013, the Magistrate Judge filed findings and  
23 recommendations that Petitioner's motion for a stay of the  
24 proceedings be denied. The findings and recommendations were served  
25 on all parties on the same date. The findings and recommendations  
26 advised the parties that objections could be filed within thirty  
27 days and replies within fourteen days after the filing of  
28 objections. On September 26, 2013, Petitioner filed objections.

1 Although over fourteen days have passed since the filing of  
2 objections, no reply to the objections has been filed.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C),  
4 this Court has conducted a de novo review of the case. The  
5 undersigned has carefully reviewed the entire file and has  
6 considered the objections; the undersigned has determined there is  
7 no need to modify the findings and recommendations based on the  
8 points raised in the objections. The Court finds that the report  
9 and recommendations are supported by the record and proper analysis.

10 Accordingly, it is ORDERED that:

11 1. The findings and recommendations filed on August 26, 2013,  
12 are ADOPTED in full; and

13 2. Petitioner's motion for a stay of the proceedings is  
14 DENIED.

15  
16  
17 IT IS SO ORDERED.

18 Dated: October 17, 2013

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE