1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 11 MARCUS R. WILLIAMS, Case No. 1:12-cv-00226 LJO DLB PC 12 Plaintiff, ORDER DIRECTING DEFENDANTS TO SHOW CAUSE WHY SANCTIONS SHOULD NOT BE IMPOSED FOR FAILURE TO 13 v. FOLLOW A COURT ORDER 14 KELLY HARRINGTON, et al., [ECF No. 58] 15 FOURTEEN-DAY DEADLINE Defendants. 16 Plaintiff Marcus R. Williams ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma 17 pauperis in this civil rights action filed on February 17, 2012. 18 On October 24, 2014, the Court issued an order staying Defendant's motion for summary 19 20 judgment in light of outstanding discovery issues. The parties were directed to file a status report 21 concerning the status of discovery within twenty-one (21) days of the date of service of the order. 22 On November 6, 2014, Plaintiff timely filed his status report along with a renewed motion to compel 23 Defendants to comply with his discovery requests. To date, Defendants have failed to file a status 24 report or respond to the Court's order in any manner. 25 Local Rule 11-110 provides: "Failure of counsel or of a party to comply with these Rules or 26 with any order of the Court may be grounds for imposition by the Court of any and all sanctions 27 authorized by statute or Rule or within the inherent power of the Court."

28

Accordingly, Defendants are ORDERED TO SHOW CAUSE within fourteen (14) days of the date of service of this order why sanctions should not be imposed for failure to follow a court order. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1s/ Dennis L. Beck Dated: **December 25, 2014** UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE