1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 MARCUS R. WILLIAMS, Case No. 1:12-cv-00226 LJO DLB PC 12 Plaintiff. ORDER LIFTING STAY OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT 13 v. [ECF No. 58] 14 ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS LEAVE TO FILE SUPPLEMENT OR 15 KELLY HARRINGTON, et al., AMENDED MOTION FOR SUMMARY **JUDGMENT** 16 Defendants. [SIXTY-DAY DEADLINE] 17 Plaintiff Marcus R. Williams is a prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis in this 18 civil rights action. 19 Plaintiff is proceeding on his Complaint filed February 17, 2012. The Court screened the 20 21 Complaint and found that it stated a cognizable claim for relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 against Defendants S. Rios and S. Steward for excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment, 22 Defendants D. Jayvinder and M. Stewart for deliberate indifference to a serious medical need in 23 violation of the Eighth Amendment, and Defendants M.D. Biter, D. Page, Kelly Harrington, and 24 25 M. Cabrera for deliberate indifference to conditions of confinement in violation of the Eighth Amendment. The summons and complaint were thereafter served on Defendants. On October 26 27 16, 2013, Defendants Biter, Carrera, Harrington, Page, Rios, and S. Stewart filed an answer to

the Complaint. On October 18, 2013, a discovery and scheduling order was issued. The

28

discovery cut-off date was set for March 17, 2014, and the dispositive motion deadline was set for May 16, 2014. On May 22, 2014, Defendants filed a motion for summary judgment. Subsequently, the U.S. Marshal served Defendant M. Stewart, and Defendant Stewart filed an answer on October 28, 2014. In light of certain outstanding discovery issues at the time, and the fact that Defendant M. Stewart had just been served, on October 24, 2014, the motion for summary judgment was stayed. On July 17, 2015, the Court resolved the last discovery dispute. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED: 1) The stay of Defendants' motion for summary judgment is LIFTED; 2) Defendants are GRANTED sixty (60) days from the date of service of this order to supplement or amend their motion for summary judgment; 3) Plaintiff is GRANTED thirty (30) days from the date of service of Defendants' supplement or amended motion for summary judgment to file an opposition; and 4) Defendants MAY FILE a reply to opposition, if any, within fourteen (14) days from the date of service of Plaintiff's opposition. IT IS SO ORDERED. 1s/ Dennis L. Beck Dated: October 5, 2015 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE