1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	ANDREW A. CEJAS,	1:12-cv-00271-AWI-DLB- (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL
13	V.	
14	W.K. MYERS, et al.,	(Document# 144)
15	Defendants.	
16		
17		
18	On January 29, 2016, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment of counsel.	
19	Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v. Rowland,	
20	113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent	
21	Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the	
22	Southern District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298, 109 S.Ct. 1814, 1816 (1989). However, in certain	
23	exceptional circumstances, the Court may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to	
24	section 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.	
25	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek	
26	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether	
27	"exceptional circumstances exist the district court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of	

the merits [and] the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims *pro se* in light of the

28

complexity of the legal issues involved." Id. (internal quotation marks and citations omitted). In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even if it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with similar cases almost daily. Further, the Court cannot make a determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record in this case, the Court does not find that plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. Id. To the extent that Plaintiff believes that he cannot adequately oppose Defendants' two pending motions for summary judgment, he may requests extensions of time to file oppositions. For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY DENIED, without prejudice. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: February 1, 2016 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE