



1 and contained notice that any objections must be filed within thirty (30) days. Plaintiff filed  
2 objections on May 26, 2016. Defendants filed their reply on June 6, 2016.

3 In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a  
4 de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, including Plaintiff's  
5 objections and Defendants' reply, the Court finds that the Findings and Recommendations are  
6 supported by the record and proper analysis.

7 Plaintiff's objections are based mainly on his disagreement with the Magistrate Judge's  
8 evidentiary rulings and interpretation of the evidence. As in his opposition to the motion for  
9 summary judgment, Plaintiff's arguments remain based on speculation and/or inadmissible  
10 hearsay. In fact, the Magistrate Judge addressed many of the arguments that Plaintiff now repeats  
11 in his objections. Plaintiff also repeats his arguments related to an equal protection issue, though  
12 this claim was dismissed from this action.

13 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 14 1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed April 20, 2016, are adopted in full;
- 15 2. The motion for summary judgment filed by Defendants Myers, Trimble, McGee  
16 and Fisher (Document 132) is GRANTED;
- 17 3. Judgment is ENTERED IN FAVOR of Defendants Myers, McGee,  
18 Fisher and Trimble.

19 IT IS SO ORDERED.

20 Dated: June 16, 2016

21   
22 \_\_\_\_\_  
23 SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28