1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 1:12cv00271 AWI DLB PC ANDREW A. CEJAS, 11 ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S REPLIES TO ANSWERS 12 Plaintiff, 13 (Documents 68 and 69) VS. 14 W.K. MYERS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Andrew Cejas ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis 18 in this civil rights action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. 19 Defendants filed their answers on May 11, 2015.¹ 20 On May 28 and June 1, 2015, Plaintiff filed documents intending to respond to the 21 answers filed by Defendants Van Leer and Foston. 22 Neither the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor the Local Rules provide for a reply to an 23 24 answer, absent an order from the Court requiring one. Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a). In this case, the 25 /// 26 /// 27 28

¹ Defendants did not file a single answer, but rather seven separate answers.

1	Court did not order a reply to the answers. Accordingly, Plaintiff's replies to the answers of
2	Defendants Van Leer and Pimentel are HEREBY ORDERED STRICKEN from the record.
3	
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.
5	Dated: June 2, 2015 /s/ Dennis L. Beck
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	