## 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 8 9 10 1:12cv00271 AWI DLB PC ANDREW A. CEJAS, 11 ORDER STRIKING PLAINTIFF'S REPLY TO ANSWER 12 Plaintiff, 13 (Document 76) VS. 14 W.K. MYERS, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 Plaintiff Andrew Cejas ("Plaintiff") is a prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis 18 in this civil rights action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1983. 19 Defendant Fisher filed an answer on May 11, 2015.<sup>1</sup> 20 On June 8, 2015, Plaintiff filed a document intending to respond to Defendant's answer. 21 Neither the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure nor the Local Rules provide for a reply to an 22 answer, absent an order from the Court requiring one. Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a). In this case, the 23 24 /// 25 /// 26 27 28 <sup>1</sup> Defendants did not file a single answer, but rather seven separate answers.

1

1	Court did not order a reply to the answers. Accordingly, Plaintiff's reply to the answer filed by		
2	Defendant Fisher is HEREBY ORDERED STRICKEN from the record.		
3			
4	IT IS SO O	RDERED.	
5	Dated:	<b>June 9, 2015</b>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck
6			UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 I	1		