

1
2
3 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
4 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
5

6 SUSAN MAE POLK,
7 **Appellant,**
8
9 **v.**
10 **COUNTY OF CONTRA COSTA,**
11 **Appellee.**

)
) **CASE NO. 1:12-CV-0290 AWI**
) **BANKRUPTCY CASE NO.**
) **09-14047-A-7**
)
) **ORDER SETTING OUT BRIEFING**
) **SCHEDULE**
)
) Docs. 21 and 23

12
13
14 Appellee has asked for a waiver of the requirement to file documents in the CM/ECF and
15 has requested additional time for briefing. Doc. 23. The motion for waiver of the electronic
16 filing requirements is denied, but the request for additional time is granted. Appellee's brief is
17 due by 4:00 PM Wednesday, June 12, 2013. Should Appellant wish to file a reply, she must do
18 so by 4:00 PM Monday, July 29, 2013.

19 Appellant has made a motion to waive page limits on her briefs. Doc. 21. The original
20 briefing schedule limited opening briefs to 30 pages and replies to 20 pages. Doc. 7. Appellant's
21 opening brief is 76 pages long. However, the brief is written out longhand as Appellant does not
22 have access to a typewriter or computer. She estimates that it contains 14,000 words. The court
23 estimates that her brief is the equivalent of 40 typewritten, double spaced pages (at 350 words per
24 page). Appellant's violation of the page limits in the brief is excused. However, the court
25 expects any reply to be limited to 45 pages and 9,000 words.

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 Dated: May 21, 2013



28 **SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE**

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28