Ovalle v. Liberty Li	fe Assurance Company of Boston		Doc.	13
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE			
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	DAVIDOVALLE	1.12av0202 AWI DI D		
11	DAVID OVALLE,	1:12cv0292 AWI DLB		
12		ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S		
13	Plaintiff,	MOTION TO UTILIZE ELECTRONIC COURT FILING		
14	vs.	(Document 10)		
15	LIBERTY LIFE ASSURANCE CO. OF BOSTON,			
16	Defendant.			
17				
18	Plaintiff David Ovalle is proceeding pro se in this action and has requested permission to			
19	e-file documents in this Court's CM/ECF case management system. He has not, however, explained			
20	why the Court should make an exception to the general rule that pro se parties are not permitted to			
21	use CM/ECF. Local Rule 133(b)(3) provides that pro se applicant must "set[] out an explanation of			
22	reasons for the exception."			
23	Plaintiff's motion is therefore DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.			
24	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
25	Dated: <u>April 27, 2012</u>	/s/ Dennis L. Beck UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE		
26		01,1122 0111120 1111010 111112 002 02		
27				
28		1		
		Dookoto li	otio o	