1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 3 JAE JUNE PAK, 1:12-cv-00301-LJO-SKO (PC) 4 Plaintiff, ORDER DISMISSING ACTION, WITHOUT 5 PREJUDICE, FOR FAILURE TO OBEY COURT ORDER v. 6 SIX UNKNOWN NAMES AGENTS, et al., (Doc. 3) 7 Defendants. 8 9 On March 1, 2012, Jae June Pak, a federal prisoner proceeding pro se, filed what was construed 10 as a civil rights complaint. The complaint was not signed and it set forth no intelligible claims for relief. 11 Because the Court cannot consider unsigned filings, the complaint was stricken from the record on 12 September 28, 2011, and Plaintiff was ordered to file a signed complaint within thirty days. In addition, 13 Plaintiff was ordered to either file a motion seeking leave to proceed in forma pauperis or pay the 14 \$350.00 filing fee in full within thirty days. Plaintiff has not timely complied with the Court's order or 15 otherwise responded, and Plaintiff was warned that this action would be dismissed if he did not comply.<sup>1</sup> 16 A civil action may not proceed absent the submission of a pleading and either an application to 17 proceed in forma pauperis or the filing fee. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1914, 1915; Fed. R. Civ. P. 3. Because 18 Plaintiff has not responded to the Court's order to submit a signed complaint and either an application 19 to proceed in forma pauperis or the filing fee, dismissal of this action is appropriate. 20 Phenylpropanolamine (PPA) Products Liability Litigation, 460 F.3d 1217, 1226 (9th Cir. 2006); Local 21 Rule 110. 22 Accordingly, the Court HEREBY ORDERS this action dismissed, without prejudice, for failure 23 to obey a court order. 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 **Dated:** April 24, 2012 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill 27 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE 28 <sup>1</sup> The order was returned by the postal service with the notation that it had been refused. However, service on

Plaintiff at his address of record is deemed effective. Local Rule 182(f).