(PC)Delgado	v. Gonzalez et al		
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
9			
10	ALEZANDER DELGADO,	CASE NO. 1:12-cv-00319-AWI-GBC (PC)	
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR	
12	v.	APPOINTMENT OF COUNSEL	
13	GONZALEZ, et al.,	Docs. 8, 9	
14	Defendants.	Docs. 8, 9	
15			
16	Plaintiff is a state prisoner proceeding pro se in a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §		
17	1983. On September 4, 2012 and October 31, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion seeking the appointment		
18	of counsel. Plaintiff does not have a constitutional right to appointed counsel in this action, Rand v.		
19	Rowland, 113 F.3d 1520, 1525 (9th Cir. 1997), and the Court cannot require an attorney to represent		
20	Plaintiff pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). Mallard v. United States District Court for the Southern		
21	District of Iowa, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). However, in certain exceptional circumstances the Court		
22	may request the voluntary assistance of counsel pursuant to § 1915(e)(1). Rand, 113 F.3d at 1525.		
23			
24	Without a reasonable method of securing and compensating counsel, the Court will seek		
25	volunteer counsel only in the most serious and exceptional cases. In determining whether		
26	"exceptional circumstances exist, the District Court must evaluate both the likelihood of success of		
27	the merits [and] the ability of the [Plaintiff] to articulate his claims <i>pro se</i> in light of the complexity		
28	of the legal issues involved." <i>Id</i> .		
	Page 1 of 2		

Doc. 10

1	In the present case, the Court does not find the required exceptional circumstances. Even it	
2	it is assumed that Plaintiff is not well versed in the law and that he has made serious allegations	
3	which, if proved, would entitle him to relief, his case is not exceptional. This Court is faced with	
4	similar cases almost daily. Further, at this early stage in the proceedings, the Court cannot make a	
5	determination that Plaintiff is likely to succeed on the merits, and based on a review of the record	
6	in this case, the Court does not find that Plaintiff cannot adequately articulate his claims. <i>Id</i> .	
7	For the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel is HEREBY	
8	DENIED.	
9	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
10	Dated: November 20, 2012	
11	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		