Morales v. Kumar	et al Doc.
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
8	
9	JOHN MORALES, CASE NO. CV F 12-0340 LJO SKO
10	Plaintiff, ORDER AFTER SETTLEMENT
11	vs. (Doc. 24.)
12	JAGDISH KUMAR, et al.,
13	Defendants.
14	Plaintiff's counsel notified this Court that settlement has been reached among all parties.
15	Pursuant to this Court's Local Rule 160, this Court ORDERS the parties, no later than September 5,
16	<b>2012</b> , to file appropriate papers to dismiss or conclude this action in its entirety, or to show good cause
17	why the action has not been dismissed.
18	This Court VACATES all pending matters and dates.
19	Failure to comply with this order may be grounds for the imposition of sanctions on counsel or
20	parties who contributed to violation of this order. See Local Rules160 and 272. This Court
21	ADMONISHES the parties and counsel that they are expected to obey the Federal Rules of Civil
22	Procedure and this Court's Local Rules and orders and are subject to sanctions as this Court deems
23	appropriate.
24	
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.
26	Dated: August 6, 2012 /s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
27	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
28	

Doc. 25