1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6 7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
8	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
° 9	WILLIAM GIPSON,	CASE NO. 1:12-cv-00343-BAM PC
	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
10 11	Plaintiff, v.	ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S APPLICATION TO PROCEED <i>IN FORM</i> <i>PAUPERIS</i>
12	C/O R. SCHMIST, et al.,	(ECF No. 5)
13	Defendants.	
14	·	
15	Plaintiff William Gipson, a former state prisoner proceeding pro se in this civil rights action	
16	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, filed an application to proceed <i>in forma pauperis</i> on March 16, 2012.	
17	(ECF No. 5.) Plaintiff has submitted a declaration that makes the showing required by 28 U.S.C.	
18	§ 1915(a). Notwithstanding this order, the Court does not direct that service be undertaken until the	
19	Court screens the complaint in due course and issues its screening order.	
20	Accordingly, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis.	
21	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
22	Dated: <u>March 20, 2012</u>	/s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
23		UNITED STATES MADISTRATE JUDGE
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		1