(PC) Woolridge v.	Riveria et al I	Doo	
1 2 3 4 5			
7			
8	IN THE UNITE	O STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JOSHUA T. WOOLRIDGE,) 1:12-cv-00372-GSA-PC	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DENYING APPLICATION TO	
13	VS.) PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS AND DISMISSING ACTION, WITHOUT	
14 15	A. RIVERIA, et al.,) PREJUDICE TO REFILING WITH) SUBMISSION OF \$350.00 FILING FEE IN FULL	
16	Defendants.) (Doc. 2.)	
17		ORDER FOR CLERK TO CLOSE CASE	
18		_)	
19	Joshua T. Woolridge ("Plaintiff") is a	a state prisoner proceeding pro se with this civil rights action	
20	pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Plaintiff filed the Complaint commencing this action on March 12, 2012,		
21	and filed an application to proceed in form	a pauperis on March 26, 2012. (Docs. 1, 2.) On April 11,	
22	2012, Plaintiff consented to Magistrate Judg	ge jurisdiction in this action, and no other parties have made	
23	an appearance. (Doc. 4.) Therefore, pursu	ant to Appendix A(k)(4) of the Local Rules of the Eastern	
24	District of California, the undersigned shall	conduct any and all proceedings in the case until such time	
25	as reassignment to a District Judge is required. Local Rule Appendix A(k)(3).		
26	28 U.S.C. § 1915 governs proceedings in forma pauperis. Section 1915(g) provides that "[i]n		
27	no event shall a prisoner bring a civil action under this section if the prisoner has, on 3 or more prior		
28		1	

Doc. 5

occasions, while incarcerated or detained in any facility, brought an action or appeal in a court of the United States that was dismissed on the grounds that it is frivolous, malicious, or fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, unless the prisoner is under imminent danger of serious physical injury." A review of the actions filed by Plaintiff reveals that Plaintiff is subject to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g) and is precluded from proceeding in forma pauperis unless Plaintiff is, at the time the complaint is filed, under imminent danger of serious physical injury.¹

The Court has reviewed Plaintiff's Complaint and finds that Plaintiff does not meet the imminent danger exception.² Andrews v. Cervantes, 493 F.3d 1047, 1053 (9th Cir. 2007). Plaintiff alleges in the Complaint that the defendants failed to protect him when they allowed another inmate to assault Plaintiff with a homemade weapon on March 23, 2010, and then attempted to cover up the assault.³ Plaintiff may not proceed in forma pauperis in this action, and must submit the appropriate filing fee in order to proceed with this action. Therefore, Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis shall be denied, and this action shall be dismissed, without prejudice to refiling with the submission of the \$350.00 filing fee in full.

Based on the foregoing, it is HEREBY ORDERED that:

1. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g), Plaintiff's application to proceed in forma pauperis in this action is denied;

The Court takes judicial notice of case numbers 5:04-cv-01602-UA-MAN Woolridge v. San Bernardino County Dep't of Childrens Svc. Dir., et al. (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed 01/20/2005 for failure to state a claim); 5:06-cv-01351-UA-MAN Woolridge v. San Bernardino County Dep't of Childrens Svc. Dir. (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed 01/03/2007 for failure to state a claim); 5:07-cv-00229-UA-DUTY Woolridge v. San Bernardino County Dep't of Childrens Svc. Dir. (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed 03/20/2007 for failure to state a claim); 5:08-cv-01815-UA-MAN Woolridge v. City/County of Riverside, et al., (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed 01/16/2009 for failure to state a claim); 5:09-cv-00314-UA-MAN Woolridge v. City/County of Riverside, et al., (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed 02/23/2009 for failure to state a claim); 1:09-cv-00158-AWI-GSA Woolridge v. Cal. Dep't of Corr. & Rehab., et al., (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed 05/15/2009 for failure to state a claim); 2:09-cv-00734-JAM-GGH Woolridge v. Cal. Dep't of Corr. & Rehab., (E.D. Cal.) (dismissed 07/27/2009 for failure to state a claim); and 5:09-cv-01377-UA-MAN Woolridge v. Anwar, (C.D. Cal.) (dismissed 07/29/2009 for failure to state a claim).

²The Court expresses no opinion on the merits of Plaintiff's claims.

³The Complaint is devoid of any showing that Plaintiff was under imminent danger of serious physical injury at the time he filed the Complaint. Id.

1	2. This action is DISMISSED, without prejudice to refiling with the submission of th
2	\$350.00 filing fee in full; and
3	3. The Clerk is directed to CLOSE this case.
4	
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.
6	Dated: April 16, 2012 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
7	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3