

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10

11 LUIS SANCHEZ, et al.,

CASE NO. CV F 12-0428 LJO SKO

12 Plaintiff,

**ORDER TO VACATE SUMMARY
JUDGMENT HEARING SET BY PLAINTIFF
CONTRARY TO ORDER
(Doc. 111.)**

13
14 vs.

15
16 CITY OF FRESNO, et al.,

17 Defendants.
18
19 _____/

20 Plaintiffs set a March 26, 2014 hearing on their summary judgment motion although
21 this Court's January 23, 2014 order states: "Pursuant to its practice, this Court will consider the
22 summary judgment motions on the record without a hearing, unless this Court orders
23 otherwise." Moreover, plaintiffs set a hearing a mere two days after reply papers are due. The
24 record is clear that plaintiffs were not to set a hearing. As such, this Court:

25 1. VACATES the March 26, 2014 hearing set by plaintiffs in contravention of this
26 Court's order; and

27 2. ADMONISHES plaintiffs' counsel to obey the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
28 and this Court's Local Rules and orders and that they are subject to sanctions as this Court

1 deems appropriate.

2

3 IT IS SO ORDERED.

4

Dated: February 21, 2014

5

/s/ Lawrence J. O'Neill
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28