1		
2		
3		
5		
6	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	MARIO THOMPSON,	1:12-cv-00441-GBC (PC)
10	Plaintiff,	ORDER TRANSFERRING CASE TO THE
11	vs.	SACRAMENTO DIVISION OF THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12	D. ROSARIO, et al,	
13	Defendants.	
14		
15	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C.	
16	§ 1983, together with a request to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915.	
17	In his complaint, plaintiff alleges violations by defendants at California State Prison, Solano. The	
18	alleged violations took place in Sacramento County, which is part of the Sacramento Division of the	
19	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. Therefore, the complaint should have	
20	been filed in the Sacramento Division.	
21	Pursuant to Local Rule 120(f), a civil action which has not been commenced in the proper court	
22	may, on the court's own motion, be transferred to the proper court. Therefore, this action will be	
23	transferred to the Sacramento Division. This court will not rule on plaintiff's request to proceed in	
24	forma pauperis.	
25	//	
26	//	
27	//	
28	//	
	Page 1 of 2	

(PC)Thompson v. Rosario et al

Doc. 3

1	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
2	1. This action is transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of	
3	California sitting in Sacramento; and	
4	2. All future filings shall refer to the new Sacramento case number assigned and shall be	
5	filed at:	
6	United States District Court	
7 8	Eastern District of California 501 "I" Street, Suite 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814	
9	3. This court has not ruled on plaintiff's request to proceed in forma pauperis.	
10		
11	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
12	Dated: March 26, 2012	
13	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		