1		
2		
3		
4 5		
6		
7		
8	IINITED STATE	S DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	RICHARD CHARLES HANNA,	Case No. 1:12-cv-00501-AWI-SAB
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER REQUIRING COUNSEL TO PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTATION
14	MARIPOSA COUNTY SHERIFF DEPT.	
15	et al.,	FIFTEEN DAY DEADLINE
16	Defendants.	
17		
18	On November 27, 2013, counsel filed a response to the order of this Court requiring him	
19	to respond to Plaintiff's allegations regarding the dismissal with prejudice in <u>Hanna v. County of</u>	
20	Mariposa, 1:12-cv-01885-AWI-SAB (E.D. Cal.). In his response, counsel stated that Ms. Ritter	
21	had power of attorney to act on behalf of Plaintiff at the time that the action was dismissed.	
22	Accordingly, within fifteen days from the date of service of this order, the power of attorney that	
23	was in effect on February 20, 2012 shall be filed with this Court.	
24		
25	IT IS SO ORDERED.	Sund A Bo
26	Dated: December 4, 2013	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
27		CIVILD STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		