1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	RICHARD CHARLES HANNA,	Case No. 1:12-cv-00501-AWI-SAB	
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
13	V.	(ECF Nos. 57-71, 82, 87, 91)	
14	MARIPOSA COUNTY SHERIFF DEPT. et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	Plaintiff Richard Charles Hanna is appearing pro se and in forma pauperis in this civil		
18	rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate		
19	Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302. On September 10, 2013, default		
20	was entered against Defendants Boehm, King, Mariposa County Sheriff Department, Rameriz,		
21	and Rumfelt.		
22	On January 9, 2014, the Magistrate Judge filed a Findings and Recommendations		
23	recommending setting aside the Entry of Default which was served on the parties and which		
24	contained notice to the parties that any objections to the Findings and Recommendations were to		
25	be filed within thirty days. On January 21, 2014, Plaintiff filed an <u>Objection</u> .		
26	In his objection, Plaintiff argues that he has been prejudiced due to "evidence" having		
27	been destroyed. There is nothing in the record to suggest that there has been the destruction of		
28		1	

1	any evidence in this action. Plaintiff's conclusory statements are not sufficient to establish that he	
2	will suffer any prejudice in this action. Should this action survive the motion to dismiss, the	
3	Court will issue an order opening discovery in this action. If Plaintiff discovers that evidence has	
4	actually been destroyed, the issue will be addressed at that time.	
5	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C), this Court has conducted a	
6	de novo review of this case. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the	
7	Findings and Recommendations to be supported by the record and by proper analysis.	
8	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:	
9	1. The Findings and Recommendations, filed January 9, 2014, is ADOPTED IN	
10	FULL;	
11	2. The Entry of Default filed September 10, 2013 is SET ASIDE; and	
12	3. This action is referred back to the magistrate judge.	
13		
14	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
15	Dated: January 23, 2014SENIOR DISTRICT JUDGE	
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	2	