1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	WILSON GORRELL,	Case No. 1:12-cv-00554 AWI JLT
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISREGARDING MOTION FOR JUDGMENT
13	V.	(Doc. 17)
14	THOMAS C. SNEATH et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	On July 19, 2012, Defendants waivers of personal service were filed. (Doc. 16) By	
18	waiving the requirement for personal service, Defendants were granted 60 days from the date of	
19	service, June 5, 2012, to respond to the complaint. (Doc. 16 at 1, 3, 5) Thus, Defendants' answer,	
20	filed on August 6, 2012, was timely, given that the 60 th day fell on a weekend. (Doc. 18)	
21	On August 6, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion for judgment. (Doc. 17) Plaintiff seeks	
22	default judgment based upon Defendants' failure to answer within 21 days. However, of course,	
23	this deadline did not apply, given that Defendants were not personally served. Therefore,	
24	Plaintiff's motion for judgment is DISREGARDED.	
25		
26	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
27	Dated: August 7, 2012	/s/ Jennifer L. Thurston UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
28		OMILD STATES MASISTRATE JUDGE