1					
2					
3					
4					
5					
6					
7 8	UNITED STAT	'ES DISTRICT COURT			
8 9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10					
11	MARIA G. MORENO,	) Case No.: 1:12-cv-0556 JLT CDB			
12	Plaintiff,	) ) ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION			
13	v.	) TO DISMISS			
14	CASTLEROCK FARMING AND	) (Doc. 120)			
15	TRANSPORT, INC., et al., Defendants.				
16	Derendants.	) )			
17	Defendants Albert L. Good and Castlerock Farming & Transport, Inc., filed a statement				
18	reporting the death of Plaintiff Florencia Gutierrez on March 2, 2023, indicated they were informed				
19	Ms. Gutierrez was "unavailable to be deposed because she is deceased." (Doc. 105 at 2.) Defendants				
20	now seek dismissal of Ms. Gutierrez as a plaintiff pursuant to Rule 25(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil				
21	Procedure. (Doc. 120; <i>see also</i> Doc. 121.) Plaintiffs did not oppose the motion. <sup>1</sup>				
22	Pursuant to Rule 25(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the action may continue if a				
23	claim is not extinguished. "A motion for substitution may be made by any party or by the decedent's				
24	successor or representative. If the motion is not made within 90 days after service of a statement				
25	noting the death, the action by or against the decedent must be dismissed." Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(a)(1). If				
26	the requirements of Rule 25(a)(1) are met, "[t]he substituted party steps into the same position as [the]				
27					
28	<sup>1</sup> Plaintiffs requested an extension of time to oppose the plaintiffs have not filed either an opposition or state	motion, and the Court granted the request. (Docs. 125, 126.) To ement of non-opposition to the requested dismissal.			

1    1	original party."	Hilao v. E.	<i>State of Marcos,</i>	103 F.3d 762,	766 (9th Cir. 1996).
--------	------------------	-------------	-------------------------	---------------	----------------------

1	original party. Thud V. Estate of Marcos, 105 1.50 702, 700 (501 Ch. 1990).				
2	As noted above, the "Statement Noting Death of Plaintiff Florencia Gutierrez" was filed on				
3	March 2, 2023. (Doc. 105.) Because the Statement was filed on the record, and the plaintiffs'				
4	representatives received electronic service of the record, the 90-day period began to run. See				
5	Summerfield v. Fackrell, 2012 WL 113281, at *2 (E.D. Cal. Jan. 11, 2012) (before the 90-day period				
6	begins to run, the suggestion of death must be filed on the record and served to the other parties); see				
7	also Barlow v. Ground, 39 F.3d 231, 233 (9th Cir. 1994). Although Plaintiffs were granted an				
8	extension of time to file a motion for substitution (Doc. 130), they failed to do so. Consequently, the				
9	claims of Florencia Gutierrez must be dismissed. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 25(a)(1). Based upon the				
10	foregoing, the Court <b>ORDERS</b> :				
11	1. Defendants' motion to dismiss (Doc. 120) is <b>GRANTED</b> .				
12	2. Florencia Gutierrez is <b>TERMINATED</b> as a plaintiff in this action.				
13	3. The claims for Florencia Gutierrez are <b>DISMISSED</b> with prejudice.				
14					
15	IT IS SO ORDERED.				
16	Dated: February 8, 2024				
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE				
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	2				