1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 9 10 AHKEEM WILLIAMS, Case No. 1:12-cv-00606-SKO PC 11 Plaintiff, ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL, WITHOUT PREJUDICE, AS PROCEDURALLY DEFICIENT 12 v. 13 KIM PEDRIERO, et al., (Doc. 50)14 Defendants. 15 Plaintiff Akheem Williams, a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in forma pauperis, filed 16 this civil rights action pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 on April 17, 2012. This action is proceeding 17 against Defendants Garcia, Valdiz, Cortez, Silva, Castro, Day, Stephens, Collier, Torres, Delia, 18 and Tordson for use of excessive physical force, in violation of the United States Constitution. 19 On September 16, 2013, Plaintiff filed a motion to compel. Defendants filed a response on 20 October 1, 2013. 21 Plaintiff's motion to compel is not supported by copies of the discovery requests in dispute 22 and Defendants' responses, if any. By order filed October 3, 2013, Plaintiff was placed on notice 23 of the need to support his motions to compel with copies of the discovery requests at issue and the 24 responses, if any. (Doc. 57.) The Court recognizes that Plaintiff did not have the benefit of that 25 order at the time he filed this motion to compel, but nevertheless, the Court cannot reach the 26 merits of Plaintiff's motion in light of its procedural deficiencies. 27

28

1	Accordingly, Plaintiff's motion to compel, filed on September 16, 2013, is HERE	BY
2	DENIED, without prejudice.	
3		
4		
5	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
6	Dated: October 25, 2013 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE	
7	CIVILD SITTLES MINOISTRATE SOLDE	
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		